# **2022 MDMDA SB 286 Support.pdf**Uploaded by: Ashlie Bagwell Position: FAV



### TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF THE MARYLAND MEDICAL DISPENSARY ASSOCIATION

### Senate Bill 286—Firearms-Use of Medical Cannabis **SUPPORT**

Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee February 8, 2022

The Maryland Medical Dispensary Association (MDMDA) was established in May, 2017 in order to promote the common interests and goals of the Medical Cannabis Dispensaries in Maryland. MDMDA advocates for laws, regulations and public policies that foster a healthy, professional and secure medical cannabis industry in the State. MDMDA works on the State and local level to advance the interests of licensed dispensaries as well as to provide a forum for the exchange of information in the Medical Cannabis Industry.

Senate Bill 286 specifies that a person may not be denied the right to purchase, possess, or carry a firearm simply because he or she is a qualifying patient in Maryland's medical cannabis program. The MDMDA strongly believes that Marylanders should not have to choose between owning a legal firearm and accessing medication.

For this simple and straightforward reason, we urge the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee to vote favorably on Senate Bill 286.

## Katie\_Novotny \_FAVwithamend\_SB286.pdf Uploaded by: Katie Novotny

Position: FWA

#### Written Testimony of Katie Novotny in support of SB 286 with Amendments

February 4, 2022

I present this information as a private citizen and a gun owner. I agree with the spirit of this law, but it cannot be enacted until federal law removes marijuana from the Schedule 1 controlled substance list. Passage of this law may lead citizens to believe that it is legal to do things that are still federally illegal.

The ATF has written an open letter to FFL's regarding this issue. <a href="www.atf.gov/file/60211/download">www.atf.gov/file/60211/download</a>
Federal Form 4473 explicitly asks if the purchaser is a user of any controlled substances, and then spells out that marijuana is still unlawful under Federal law. A false statement on the 4473 is a felony.

It is very clear that this practice is prohibited. Because of this, we cannot plead ignorance.

I propose amending this bill so that the date which it shall be enacted be changed to include language stating that it would take effect immediately upon marijuana being removed from the Schedule 1 list.

**Katherine Novotny** 

District 35B

443-617-7568

Katie.Novotny@hotmail.com

## MSI Testimony on SB 286 medical marijuana1.pdf Uploaded by: Mark Pennak

Position: INFO



President Mark W. Pennak

February 8, 2022

### WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF MARK W. PENNAK, PRESIDENT, MARYLAND SHALL ISSUE, AS INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO SB 286

I am the President of Maryland Shall Issue ("MSI"). Maryland Shall Issue is an all-volunteer, non-partisan organization dedicated to the preservation and advancement of gun owners' rights in Maryland. It seeks to educate the community about the right of self-protection, the safe handling of firearms, and the responsibility that goes with carrying a firearm in public. I am also an attorney and an active member of the Bar of the District of Columbia and the Bar of Maryland. I retired from the United States Department of Justice, where I practiced law for 33 years in the Courts of Appeals of the United States and in the Supreme Court of the United States. I am an expert in Maryland Firearms Law and the law of self-defense. I am also a Maryland State Police certified handgun instructor for the Maryland Wear and Carry Permit and the Maryland Handgun Qualification License ("HQL") and a certified NRA instructor in rifle, pistol and personal protection in the home and outside the home and muzzle loading. I appear today as President of MSI to provide information with respect to SB 286.

Senate Bill 286 provides that "a person may not be denied the right to purchase, possess, or carry a firearm under this title solely on the basis that the person" is authorized to use medical cannabis under title 13, subtitle 33 of the Health – General Article of Maryland law. Like similar bills in the past, MSI takes no position with respect to the merits of these bills. However, as before, we do wish to point out some legal realities for purposes of informing the debate on these bills.

With the recent changes in Maryland law concerning medical marijuana, see MD Code, Health - General, § 13-3304 et seq., and the push to legalize the use of marijuana in Maryland, a recurring issue is how such marijuana use would affect Second Amendment rights. The short answer is that such use effectively abrogates those rights by (1) barring a Federal Firearms Licensee ("FFL") from selling a firearm to such a user and (2), by making such a user a prohibited person under federal law.

- 1. As to FFLs, the pertinent statutory provision under federal law is 18 U.S.C. 922(d)(3), which provides:
- (d) It shall be unlawful for any person to sell or otherwise dispose of any firearm or ammunition to any person knowing or having reasonable cause to believe that such person--

. \* \* \*

(3) is an unlawful user of or addicted to any controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802));

The ATF has issued a bulletin to all Federal Firearms Licensees that advises FFLs that "if you are aware that the potential transferee is in possession of a card authorizing the possession and use of marijuana under State law, then you have 'reasonable cause to believe' that the person is an unlawful user of a controlled substance." See Open Letter to All Federal Firearms Licensees, Sept. 21, 2011, available at <a href="https://www.atf.gov/file/60211/download">www.atf.gov/file/60211/download</a>. That means that the FFL (or any other person with such knowledge) is prohibited from selling a firearm to such a person with a medical marijuana card. This ATF prohibition has been sustained in federal court. Wilson v. Lynch, 835 F.3d 1083, 1093 (9th Cir. 2016), cert. denied, 137 S.Ct. 1396 (2017).

Moreover, the latest version of Federal Form 4473 (attached hereto in relevant part) continues to expressly ask if the purchaser is "an unlawful user of . . . any controlled substance" and states in bold type: "Warning: The use or possession of marijuana remains unlawful under Federal law regardless of whether it has been legalized or decriminalized for medicinal or recreational purposes in the state where you reside." A false statement or answer on Form 4473 is federal felony under 18 U.S.C. 922(a)(6) (barring material misrepresentations "in connection with the acquisition" of a firearm). See *Abramski v. United States*, 134 S.Ct. 2259 (2014). A violation of Section 922(a)(6) is punishable by up to 10 years in prison. See 18 U.S.C. 924(a)(2).

- 2. As to becoming a disqualified person, under federal law, a user of marijuana is a disqualified person under 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(3) which states:
- (g) It shall be unlawful for any person--
- (3) who is *an unlawful user* of or addicted to any controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)); to ship or transport in interstate or foreign commerce, or possess in or affecting commerce, any firearm or ammunition; or to receive any firearm or ammunition which has been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce." (Emphasis added).

A violation of Section 922(d)(3) or Section 922(g)(3) is a federal felony, punishable with up to 10 years in prison. See 18 U.S.C. 924(a)(2). Both of these provisions define the term "unlawful user" by reference to the Controlled Substances Act, a federal law. A "controlled substance" under federal law specifically includes marijuana as marijuana is expressly classified as a Schedule I controlled substance under the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. § 812(c). See also ATF regulations 27 C.F.R. § 478.11. Any use of marijuana makes a person an "unlawful user" under that federal law. Period. Under the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution, Article VI, Clause 2, the federal law provisions cannot be abrogated by State law. And they cannot be simply ignored, if only because every purchaser of a firearm from a FFL must fill out ATF Form 4473. As noted above, a false statement in filling out that form is a felony.

These realities imposed by federal law are noted by the Maryland Medical Cannabis Commission. In its FAQ on its website, the Commission states:

Federal law bars medical cannabis patients from purchasing or possessing firearms. The Federal Gun Control Act, 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(3), prohibits any person who is an 'unlawful user of or addicted to any controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)' from shipping, transporting, receiving or possessing firearms or ammunition. Marijuana is listed in the Controlled Substances Act as a Schedule I controlled substance, and there are no exceptions in Federal law for marijuana purportedly used for medicinal purposes, even if such use is sanctioned by State law.

Medical cannabis patient information contained in Maryland's patient registry is considered confidential, protected health information and held in compliance with federal HIPAA regulations by the Maryland Medical Cannabis Commission. However, the Maryland State Police query individuals who seek to purchase a gun about their status as a medical cannabis patient and bar those who disclose that they are medical cannabis patients from making the transaction. Individuals who provide false information by failing to disclose that they are a medical cannabis patient when purchasing a firearm are in violation of federal statute, punishable by up to 10 years in prison and a fine of as much as \$250,000."

https://mmcc.maryland.gov/Pages/patients\_faq.aspx.

Indeed, while the medical marijuana law of Maryland permits the use of marijuana under the circumstances specified in that law, the mere possession of marijuana in Maryland remains otherwise illegal in any other circumstance under State law. See Robinson v. State, 451 Md. 94 (2017). That is so even though possession of small amounts of marijuana has also been decriminalized in Maryland. See Robinson, 451 Md. at 98 (sustaining a search of a vehicle based on the odor of marijuana, noting that "decriminalization is not synonymous with legalization, and possession of marijuana remains unlawful."). The Maryland Court of Appeals has also held that "the mere odor of marijuana emanating from a person, without more, does not provide the police with probable cause to support an arrest." Lewis v. State, 470 Md. 1, 27 (2020). However, in *Pacheco v. State*, 465 Md. 311 (2019), the same court reaffirmed that the odor of marijuana was sufficient probable cause to justify a search of a vehicle, even though it was not probable cause to believe that an individual possessed a criminal amount of marijuana. See also In re D.D. 250 Md. App. 284 295 (2021) ("the odor of marijuana, by itself, does not provide reasonable suspicion to conduct an investigatory stop").

Moreover, in *United States v. Parker*, 2021 WL 211304 at \*12 (D. Md. Jan. 21, 2021), the Maryland federal district court held that "notwithstanding Maryland's decriminalization of possession of small quantities of marijuana, federal law continues to render it illegal to possess marijuana." The *Parker* court thus opined that the odor of marijuana was sufficient probable cause or articulable suspicion to support a search of a person. This line of federal cases make clear that a medical marijuana user continues to face the risk of a search and possible arrest even though possession of medical marijuana may be perfectly legal under State law. Federal courts are not bound by State court decisions. See also *United States v. Castillo Palacio*, 427 F. Supp. 3d 662, 672 (D. Md. 2019) (upholding vehicle search

based on odor of marijuana, despite the fact that personal possession of a small quantity is a civil offense in Maryland, because possession of marijuana is still a federal crime). Any firearm discovered during an otherwise lawful search may be used as evidence supporting a charge that medical marijuana user violated federal firearms law.

It is important to note that for years Congress has adopted an appropriations rider that prohibits the Department of Justice from spending funds to "prevent" the "implementation" of State medical marijuana laws. See, e.g., Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, Pub. L. No. 114-113, § 542, 129 Stat. 2242, 2332-33 (2015) (also known as the Rohrabacher–Blumenauer amendment). *McIntosh v. United States*, 833 F.3d 1163 (9th Cir. 2016). That amendment has been continuously reenacted since then as an appropriations rider. The amendment has been recently renewed and is effective until February 18, 2022, with the enactment of a stopgap funding bill in December of 2021 by Congress. As it is only an appropriation provision that prohibits the expenditure of the appropriated funds for these enforcement purposes, the prohibition must be renewed to remain effective. The underlying conduct (possession of marijuana) remains a federal crime.

It is important to note that the enforcement bar imposed the Rohrabacher-Blumenauer amendment only extends to the expenditure of funds for prosecutions that "prevent" the "implementation" of medical marijuana laws. See *United States* v. Nixon, 839 F.3d 885 (9th Cir. 2016) (holding that the appropriations rider does not impact the ability of a federal district court to restrict a defendant's use of medical marijuana as a condition of probation). It does not address enforcement of federal gun laws, such as 18 U.S.C. §922, or ATF regulation of FFLs. See *United* States v. Bellamv. 682 Fed. Appx. 447 (6th Cir. 2017) (sustaining a felon-inpossession conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(3) for possession of a gun while being a user of medical marijuana); Parker, 2021 W. 211304 at \*13 (in a unlawful possession of a firearms case, court sustained a search and resulting seizure of a firearm based on the order of marijuana); Kenneth Seligson, A Job for Congress: Medical Marijuana Patients' Fight for Second Amendment Rights, 48 Golden Gate U. L. Rev. 63, 77 (2018) ("[U]ntil medical marijuana patients are deemed lawabiding under federal law, or an exception is created, their Second Amendment challenges will always fail. Because the courts cannot provide an appropriate remedy due to the Supremacy Clause, Congress or the executive branch are the proper institutions to protect medical marijuana patients' Second Amendment rights.").

Enforcement of such federal gun laws does not "prevent" the "implementation" of medical marijuana laws; it simply means that medical marijuana users may not possess or purchase firearms. See *McIntosh*, 833 F.3d at 1178 (the rider "prohibits the federal government only from preventing the implementation of those specific rules of state law that authorize the use, distribution, possession, or cultivation of medical marijuana"). Congress could restore funding tomorrow (or the appropriation rider could lapse) and the government could then prosecute individuals who committed offenses while the government lacked funding. See *McIntosh*, 833 F.3d at 1179 n.5. The federal government can prosecute such offenses

for up to five years after they occur. See 18 U.S.C. § 3282. This bill does not and cannot protect a medical marijuana user from such outcomes.

The question the Committee should ask itself is whether passage of this bill might mislead medical marijuana users into thinking that they may use and possess medical marijuana without any fear of a search or fear of losing their gun rights. Under federal law, that is not an assurance that the State is in a position to accord. For example, on a practical level, this bill, if enacted into law, could easily fool someone into expending time and resources to acquire a handgun qualification license ("HQL") from the State Police only to find that all that time and money was wasted when the dealer refuses to complete the sale because the person cannot honestly complete ATF Form 4473. That has actually happened. The HQL would be useless to such a person, regardless of the changes that would be made to Maryland law under these bills.

Sincerely,

Mark W. Pennak

President, Maryland Shall Issue, Inc.

mpennak@marylandshallissue.org

Mand W. Fenned

U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

#### Firearms Transaction Record

WARNING: The information you provide will be used to determine whether you are prohibited by Federal or State law from receiving a firearm. Certain violations of the Gun Control Act, 18 U.S.C. 921 et. seq., are punishable by up to 10 years imprisonment and/or up to a \$250,000 fine. Any person who exports a firearm without a proper authorization from either the Department of Commerce or the Department of State, as applicable, is subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and up to 20 years imprisonment.					Transferor's/Seller's Transaction Serial Number (if any)	
Read the Notices, Instructions, and Definitions on the temporarily conducted from a qualifying gun show or equalifies under 18 U.S.C. 922(c). All entries must be	event in the same State in which the	e premises is located) u	nless the transaction	n		
Section A - Must Be Com	pleted By Transferor/Seller B	efore Transferee/Bu	yer Completes Se	ection B		
1.  Manufacturer and Importer (if any)  (If the manufacturer and importer are different, include both.)	2. Model (if designated)	3. Serial Number		4. Type	Calil	5. ber or luge
1.						
2.						
3.						
6. Total Number of Firearms to be Transferred (Ple one, two, etc. Do not use numerals.)	ase spell total number e.g.,	7. Check if any part Record Line Nur  8. Check if this tran	nber(s) From Que	stion 1:		
Section	B - Must Be Completed Person	onally By Transferee	/Buyer			
9. Transferee's/Buyer's Full Name (If legal name con Last Name (including suffix, e.g., Jr, Sr, II, III)	tains an initial only, record the initial First Name	followed by "TO" in quo	otes. If no middle ini Middle Name	itial or name, record "N	IMN".)	
10. Current State of Residence and Address (U.S. Number and Street Address	postal abbreviations are accepta City	able. Cannot be a pos		County/Parish/Bor	ough	
11. Place of Birth U.S. City and State -OR- Foreign Co	In	Weight   14. Sex   Male   Female   Non-Bi	nary	Day Ye		
16. Social Security Number (optional, but will help p	revent misidentification) 17.	Unique Personal Ident Database Identification	·		anagem	ent
Hispanic or Latino American India  Not Hispanic or Latino Asian  19. Country of Citizenship: (Check/List more than  United States of America (U.S.A)	Native one, if applicable. Nationals of Other Country/Countries (Special Countries)	or African American re Hawaiian or Other I f the United States ma ify):	Pacific Islander	White		
20. If you are an alien, record your U.Sissued alien			ha quartiana		V	27
<ul> <li>21. Answer the following questions by checking or marking either the "yes" or "no" box to the right of the questions:</li> <li>a. Are you the actual transferee/buyer of the firearm(s) listed on this form and any continuation sheet(s) (ATF Form 5300.9A)?</li> <li>Warning: You are not the actual transferee/buyer if you are acquiring the firearm(s) on behalf of another person. If you are not the actual transferee/buyer, the licensee cannot transfer the firearm(s) to you. Exception: If you are only picking up a repaired firearm(s) for another person, you are not required to answer 21.a. and may proceed to question 21.b.</li> </ul>					Yes	No □
<ul> <li>Are you under indictment or information in any than one year, or are you a current member of t Justice and whose charge(s) have been referred</li> </ul>	he military who has been charge	crime for which the jed with violation(s) of	udge could impris the Uniform Cod	son you for more e of Military		
c. Have you ever been convicted in any court, including a military court, of a felony, or any other crime for which the judge could have imprisoned you for more than one year, even if you received a shorter sentence including probation?						
d. Are you a fugitive from justice?						
e. Are you an unlawful user of, or addicted to, mariju Warning: The use or possession of marijuana rer for medicinal or recreational purposes in the state	nains unlawful under Federal law	narcotic drug, or any or regardless of whether	ther controlled subsit has been legalized	stance? d or decriminalized		